

Locally Testable Good Codes

Alex Lubotzky

Joint work with:
Irit Dinur, Shai Evra, Ron Livne and Shahar Mozes

Error Correcting Codes (ECC)

Let \mathbb{F}_q = field of order q , usually $q = 2$.

An $(n, k, d)_q$ -code C is a subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n of dimension k and distance at least d , where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(C) &= \min\{(\text{Hamming}) \text{ dist } (\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha \neq \beta \in C\} \\ &= \min\{(\text{Hamming}) \text{ weight } (\alpha) \mid 0 \neq \alpha \in C\} \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in the case $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A code (or more precisely a family of codes) is **good**

if $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $k \geq \varepsilon n$ **and** $d \geq \varepsilon n$.

The standard terminology:

$$\text{rate} = \rho(C) = \frac{k}{n} \quad (\text{normalized}) \quad \text{distance} = \delta(C) = \frac{d}{n}$$

and C is good if $\rho(C)$ and $\delta(C)$ are bounded below by some ε .

(Say: **“Constant rate and constant distance”**)

Ex: 1) Random codes defined by random constraint

2) many explicit examples

The code(s) is called **LDPC** (= low density parity check) if C is defined by equations (= linear functionals = constraints) with bounded support.

Equivalently

$$C^\perp = \{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in C\}$$

is spanned by vectors of bounded support.

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i.$$

Ex: **Gallager**: 60's Random code defined by random equations of bounded support

Explicit construction of LDPC good codes

A breakthrough by Sipser & Spielman in the 90's was the explicit construction of "Expander codes" which are LDPC good codes

Let $X = (V, E)$ be an r -regular graph. Its e.v.'s (the e.v.'s of the adjacency matrix) are in $[-r, r]$. The largest is always r and the second one $\lambda(X)$ (with $\lambda(X) < r$ iff X is connected).

Def: X is called λ -expander for some $\lambda < r$,

$$\text{if } \lambda(X) \leq \lambda$$

Again: we want r & λ fixed and

$$|X| \rightarrow \infty$$

Def : X is called **Ramanujan graph** if $\lambda(X) < 2\sqrt{r-1}$

There are explicit constructions of Ramanujan graphs:

For $r = p + 1$, p prime (**Lubotzky-Phillips-Sarnak**, see also **Margulis**)

$$r = p^e + 1 \quad (\text{Morgenstern})$$

The S-S construction

$X = (V, E)$, r -regular λ -expander graph on m vertices
 r fixed, λ fixed, $m \rightarrow \infty$

Let $C_0 \leq \mathbb{F}_2^r$ a subspace (“the small code”).

Label the r edges around each vertex v by $\{1, \dots, r\}$ (no consistency assumption).

Let

$$W = \{f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2\}$$

so:

$$n := \dim(W) = \frac{mr}{2}$$

and “the large code”

$$C = \{f \in W \mid f|_{\text{link}(v)} \in C_0, \quad \forall v \in V\}$$

i.e. the local view of f at every vertex is in the small code

Theorem Sipser-Spielman

If

(a) $\dim C_0 > \frac{r}{2}$

(b) $\text{distance}(C_0) > \lambda(X)$

then C is an LDPC good code.

Sketch of proof:

(1) LDPC - clear !!

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(C) &\geq n - m(r - \dim C_0) = \\ \frac{mr}{2} - mr + m \dim C_0 &= m(\dim C_0 - \frac{r}{2}) = \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{2}{r}(\dim C_0 - \frac{r}{2})}_{\text{constant.}} n \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $0 \neq f \in C$ and let $F = \text{support}(f)$ and G the subgraph of X spanned by F .

Lemma (Alon-Chung) If $G \subset X$ a subgraph with average degree $l > \lambda(X)$, then $|G| \geq \frac{1}{r}(l - \lambda(X))|X|$.

Here the degree of every vertex of G is \geq i.e., distance $(C_0) > \lambda(X)$ hence $|G|$ is linear in $n = |X|$.

Now use Ramanujan r -regular graphs and some fixed code $C_0 \leq \mathbb{F}_2^r$
(Even if chosen by random, it is for a fixed(!) r).

But actually there are explicit).

Q.E.D.

Locally testable codes (LTC)

A code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is a (q, ε) -**locally testable code** if there exists a random algorithm A which for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, A reads only q random bits of α and answers YES if $\alpha \in C$ and answers NO with probability

$$\Pr(A \text{ answers NO}) \geq \varepsilon \cdot \frac{1}{n} \text{distance}(\alpha, C)$$

i.e. A can decide, by reading only a few bits of α if α is in C or far away from it.

Example:

Let $W = \{f : \mathbb{F}_2^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \mid f \text{ a function}\}$

so: $n = \dim W = 2^m$

Let $C =$ the linear functionals in W

so $\dim(C) = m = \log_2(n)$.

Let A be the test: choose random $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$

and answer YES if $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$

Theorem (Blum-Luby-Rubinfeld [BLR], 1993)

$\text{Prob}(A \text{ answers NO}) \geq \frac{2}{27} \left(\frac{1}{n} \text{distance}(f, C)\right)$

So X is $(3, \frac{2}{27})$ -LTC code.

But far away from being good.

Other LTC codes:

- Reed-Solomon
- Reed-Muller
- **The tensor code** Let $C_1 \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{n_1}$ be a “smooth code” and $C_2 \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{n_2}$. The tensor code $C_1 \otimes C_2 \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{n_1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^{n_2} = M_{n_1, n_2}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is the code of the $n_1 \times n_2$ matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 such that each column is in C_1 and each row in C_2 (so $n = n_1 n_2$ and $k = (\dim C_1) \cdot (\dim C_2)$).

The algorithm A chooses a random row or column and check.

If C_1 & C_2 good, so is $C_1 \otimes C_2$ with $q = \sqrt{n}$ (say $n_1 = n_2$).

A long standing problem

Are there good LTC with constant number of queries, i.e., q is constant independent of n ?

The C^3 problem:

constant rate, constant distance and constant number of queries.

Remark

Random (LDPC) **are not!** Check !

So find a needle in a haystack rather than hay in a haystack, if the needle exists ...

Explicit construction of LTC good codes (with q constant).

“Constant rate and constant distance”

Remark : Panteleev and Kalachev have independently provided a construction of LTC good codes.

Our construction Let G be a finite group with two symmetric sets of generators A and B .

Assume:

$$1 \notin A \cup B$$

and

$$(TNC) \quad \forall a \in A, b \in B, g \in G, g^{-1}ag \neq b$$

i.e., no element of A is conjugate to an element of B and for simplicity assume also $|A| = |B| = r$ and both $Cay(G; A)$ and $Cay(G; B)$ - the Cayley graphs are Ramanujan,

i.e.

$$\lambda(Cay(G; A)), \lambda(Cay(G; B)) < 2\sqrt{r-1}$$

Define the **Left/Right Cayley Complex** $Cay(A; G; B)$ by letting A act on G from the left and B from the right, giving rise to the following squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} gb & \xrightarrow{a} & agb \\ \uparrow b & & \uparrow b \\ g & \xrightarrow{a} & ag \end{array}$$

Remark (TNC) ensures that all 4 vertices are different.

There are $\frac{1}{4}|G| \cdot |A| |B|$ such squares.

(We take $|A|$ & $|B|$ fixed and $|G| \rightarrow \infty$).

Let $C_A \leq \mathbb{F}_2^A$ a smooth code, $C_B \leq \mathbb{F}_2^B$ and $C_0 = C_A \otimes C_B$

Note The squares containing a vertex g are in 1 – 1 correspondence with $A \times B$.

We can define:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \{f : \{\text{squares}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2\} \\ C &= \{f \in W \mid f|_{\text{link}(g)} \in C_0, \forall g \in G\} \\ &= \left\{ f \in W \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall \text{ edge } e \text{ of type } A, f|_{\text{link}(e)} \in C_B \\ \forall \text{ edge of type } B, f|_{\text{link}(e)} \in C_a \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Under suitable assumption on C_A, C_B & r (large by fixed!)

This is a good LTC code.

The algorithm: Choose a random edge and check only r bits!

The inspiration for codes on Left/Right Cayley complexes came from

HIGH DIMENSIONAL EXPANDERS (HDX)

History: Let G be a p -adic simple Lie group (e.g. $G = SL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$) and Γ a cocompact lattice in G . In 1972, **Garland** proved Serre conjecture: For every $1 \leq i < \text{rank}(G)$ (e.g. $n - 1$), $H^i(\Gamma, V) = 0$ for every (finite dimensional) unitary representation of Γ . He proved it by showing “spectral gap” for the high-dimensional Laplacians.

Namely, let \mathcal{B} be the Bruhat-Tits building associated with G . This is a constructible simplicial complex of dimension $\text{rank}(G)$. (So for $SL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, this is a tree; the $(p + 1)$ -regular tree).

$\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}$ is a finite simplicial complex and Serre's conjecture can be stated as a conjecture on $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}$.

Garland deduced the spectral gap for the global Laplacians of $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}$ from strong spectral gaps of the links of $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{B}$ (which are the same as of \mathcal{B} and are well understood Spherical/Flag complexes over finite fields).

The moral lesson for us:

- Garland proved a “local to global” result
- The result means nothing to graphs!

(Moreover, the LPS - Ramanujan graphs are excellent expanders (\equiv spectral gap) with large girth. But there are large girth graphs (hence locally isomorphic to the LPS's) which are not expanders.

So, “local to global” is a high-dimensional phenomenon!

$\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{B}$ above are (many times) Ramanujan complexes.

The original idea was to take Reed-Solomon codes on the links of $(r - 2)$ cells (which can be identified with $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$) and to propagate their local testability to get codes on $\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{B}$.

p -adic uniformization related $\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{B}$ when $\Gamma \leq SL_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to $\Gamma^\# \setminus SU(2, 1)/K$ when $\Gamma^\#$ - a suitable arithmetic lattice.

We planned to use this (in the opposite direction to a famous work of Mumford on algebraic varieties) to deduce combinatorial information.

We failed and suddenly found a much simpler method for our goal of LTC codes. But a number of interesting problems are still waiting!!